Janice Sue Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Pa a 1 loufe LODGED Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, RECEIVED T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW COPY corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 - 858.78 ft to SEP 2 1 2010 the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning, organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; -not owned CLERK US DISTRICT COURT or possessed by the United States of America; -not a post Road; -not on a post DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Road; -not in a U.S. district (Response information at certificate of service page) S DEPUTY DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Case No: CR-10-0400-PHX-MHM Plaintiff. THIRD MOTION IN LIMINE Vs.

Proposed Orders Attached

NOTICE TO THE COURT, CLERK OF COURT and UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

This motion is filed for above caption hearing in the "district court of the United States", and not the "United States District Court". If the recipient clerk is unable to process this pleading, please direct it to the proper official.

THIRD MOTION IN LIMINE

Comes now Janice Sue Taylor, a living woman, not a corporation or other type of artificially created person, and not domiciled in the District of Columbia; hereinafter the MOVANT, by Special Visitation or Appearance, not granting jurisdiction nor recognizing this court's right to try her; but intervening in a foreign jurisdiction on behalf of the Alleged Defendant, Persona JANICE SUE TAYLOR, hereinafter the Accused. Movant is not trained in the law, nor is She an attorney, nor is She appearing Pro Se; but rather of right in Sui Juris.

Movant moves this court in the nature of FRCrP rule 24 to EXCLUDE from the potential jury selection every man, woman or person who may have a conflict of interest in this case. This request is a matter of law and equity going to the very heart of the concept of a fair trial under the American system of justice.

As a matter of law, any juror that has a conflict of interest in the outcome of this case would be liable for criminal and civil prosecution under 18 USC §208:

TITLE 18> PART 1> CHAPTER ii> § 208

§208. Acts affecting a personal financial interest

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

2930

31

32

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Defendant

Janice Sue Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Page 2 of 6 Appearing Specially, Not Generally

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not on a certificate of service page)

(a) Except as permitted by subsection (b) hereof whoever, being an officer or employee of the executive branch of the United States Government, or of any independent agency of the United States, a Federal Reserve bank director, officer, or employee, or an officer or employee of the District of Columbia, including a special Government employee, participates personally and substantially as a government officer or employee, through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation, or otherwise, in a judicial or other proceeding application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest, or other particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he, his spouse, minor child, general partner, organization in which he is serving as officer, director, trustee, general partner or employee, or any person or organization with whom he is negotiating or has any arrangement concerning prospective employment, has a financial interest [emphasis added]—shall be subject to the penalties set forth in section 216 of this title.

Movant has claimed elsewhere, unrebutted by Plaintiffs, that the act of signing the first W-4 and 1040 forms converts one from a "national of the united States" living in one of the 50 union States, into an employee of the United States under 26 USC §3401(c), who is engaged in a "trade or business" under 26 USC §7701(a)(26) as "the functions of a public office" domiciled in the District of Columbia per 26 USC §7701 (a)(39), without full disclosure and informed consent.

Such persons could not legitimately form "a jury of one's peer" to sentence to prison for 25 years a woman living on the land in Arizona, to which the "United States" is a foreign Corporation. Particularly when the accuser is part of the executive branch of the "United States" Corporation, and worse, that the trying court is part of the same executive branch of the same "United States" corporation. Domiciled in the District of Columbia.

This may be an appropriate place to claim foreign law per FRCrP 26.1 in addition to the implied claim in the Motion to Quash. The cases in the Motion to Quash pg 6, line 16-17 show the federal government police powers within the 50 union States. And 28 USC 3002 (15)(A) shows the "United States" to be a foreign corporation. And since there is no Federal Common Law per Erie v. Thompkins (1938), why is there a jury??

The nature of this case is such that – should the Movant prevail and be publicized – voluntary compliance with the directives of the IRS, reporting and payment by the certain people

Janice Sue Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Page 3 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district. (Response information at certificate of service page)

living in the 50 union States, would decrease. If the law upon which Movant is basing this Challenge becomes widely known and used, IRC compliance could plummet to the point that the very idea of the income tax would be threatened.

One consequence of that would be that the remaining "citizens, residents and employees", supra, would progressively LOSE their "guaranteed" "retirement benefits", or other unearned "benefits" a little at a time, upon each successive federal "budget crisis", until soon they have no benefits at all. Some have paid into the social security system for decades, only to see their "contributions" vanish into the insatiable maw of this years budget and expect, as if it were a contract something "back" for all that money! Such are the persons who Plaintiff would have as members of the jury who will decide the Movant and defendants should be imprisoned for 100 years.

Therefore it follows that, pre-voir dire, the court Order the exclusion of all men, women or persons who can answer "yes" to any one of the following questions:

- 1. Do you file returns for, or pay "income" or "social security" taxes?
- 2. Are you a recipient of some benefit that is derived from tax revenues paid into the United States?
- 3. Do you feel it is possible that you could receive any extra unwelcome attention from the IRS in the form of audits, harassment, etc, if you ruled against the IRS?
- 4. Do you expect to receive any benefit now or in the future from the United States Government?
- 5. Would the fact that you felt obligated to file tax returns, while Movant did not cause you to believe that "if you have to file, Movant has to file", and therefore conviction is appropriate?
- 6. Do you believe that everyone owes taxes in general, by the cliché "that nothing is certain but death and taxes", regardless of what the law actually says about how certain transaction are treated?

By the logic of the first paragraph on page 3, people who would rely for either current benefits or for the retirement of their golden years upon the forcible extortion of money from the Movant, and other people like her, are not suitable jurors.

Janice Sue Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Page 4 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district. (Response information at certificate of service page)

The purpose of this requested ORDER is to prevent the wasting this court's valuable time on the voir dire of people who have a conflict of interest and would deny Movant a fair trial. One could merely ask them to bring along their 1040's and SSA statements.

Second Issue

2.0

Federal judges who either pay income taxes or receive benefits from the income tax, who may be subject to the threat of IRS scrutiny, undue influence or even extortion from a past mistake cannot be objective in ruling on income tax issues. Our original constitutional model called for judges to be paid from excises on imports by people over which they have no jurisdiction to ensure no conflict of interest. And they suffered no reduction of salary by taxation. Since the advent of federal income taxation of the people within the 50 union States by the IRS starting in 1942, the judicial system itself has become increasingly, then totally corrupt. Therefore, Movant must presume that all federal judges who participate in Subtitle A income taxation are biased and have a conflict of interest regarding such cases, in violation of 18 USC §208 & 455.

- 28 USC § 455. Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge.
- (a) Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in and proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonable be questioned.
- (b) He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:
- (4) He knows that he, individually or as a fiduciary, or his spouse or minor child residing in his household, has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding;
- (d) (4) "Financial interest" means ownership of a legal or equitable interest, however small.

Movant has challenged the fraudulent application of the "United States" "tax laws" required to maintain the appearance of "consent of the governed". If she prevails, knowledge of such becomes widespread, the current system of federal employment and benefits would be threatened. Would not having the Movant tried by people in the government who rely upon the tax receipts for their retirement, let alone their daily wages be the very definition of conflict of interest?

Janice Sue Taylor Case 2: 10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Page 5 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district.

(Response information at certificate of service page)

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Pursuant to UCC 1-308: "I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract, commercial agreement or bankruptcy that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally. And furthermore, I do not and will not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement or bankruptcy". I have made a timely and explicit reservation of my rights and insist that any statutes used in my defense shall be construed to be in harmony with the Common Law.

RELIEF REQUESTED

In the context of this trial, to ORDER the exclusion of any man, woman or person with an apparent or possible conflict of interest from the jury.

Further Relief requested: Should your HONOR feel that in the context of the issues elaborated above, you perhaps have a potential conflict of interest, Movant asks that your honor recuse yourself.

Dated this 20th day of September, 2010

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Of one's own right, possessing full social and Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity.

Janice Suc Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 97 Filed 09/21/10 Page 6 of 6
Appearing Specially, Not Generally

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district.

(Response information at certificate of service page)

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 **Certificate of Service**

I, <u>Janice Sue Taylor</u>, hereby declare and state that I have filed a true and correct copy of the above document <u>Third Motion in Limine</u>, <u>Said Right Extended To Any Attorney</u>, Whether Or Not At Bar, If Providing Or Proposing To Provide "Assistance — <u>Not Force — Of Counsel"</u> with the <u>Clerk of the Court for the [Alleged] United States District Court For The [Alleged] District Of Arizona</u>, <u>said [Alleged] Court Appearing And Existing [Supposedly] As A Possession Of Its Own And NOT Lawfully Existing In The Legal or Organic County of Maricopa</u>, <u>Legal or Organic [Proposed] State of Arizona</u>, and have mailed a copy hereof, postage prepaid thereon, to the <u>Alleged U.S. Attorney's Office</u> at the following addresses set forth below.

Frank T. Galati, James Richard Knapp, Office of the <u>Alleged</u> U.S. Attorney 40 N. Central Ave. # 1200 Phoenix, Arizona near 85004

For Use For Postal Service Mailing:

Dated this 20TH day of September, 2010 A.D

Popular Address.

Janice Sue Taylor

Court Gilbert, AZ

3341 Arianna

85298

Susan Anderson 850 W. Adams Street, Suite 201 Phoenix, Arizona near 85007

RESPONSE TO THIS EXHIBITED NOTICE IS REQUIRED - Qui Tacit, Consentire Videtur, Ubi Tractatur De Ejus Commodo (He[She] who is silent is considered as assenting [to the matter in question] when his[/her] interest is as stake.)

JUST
C U T >
AND
GLUE>
To

Envelope

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.-R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07′ 22″ W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7′ 22″ W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 - 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district.

Legal Notice. Do not mind the small letters size for the Legal Address that you see. All Articles – Sent By U.S. Mail – Are <u>To be Opened</u> And Read <u>Only When</u> Accompanied By Label Size (small size) "Legal Address" From First Page (Shown Above) Displayed On Envelope - Below <u>Popular Address</u>. Otherwise, Where Legal Address Is Not Present, Article Sent Will Be Returned <u>Unopened</u>.

No need to waste gasoline and time by not using the U.S. mail, or postal service.

-

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris